

Quasi Q_n -filiform Lie algebras *

Bin Ren ¹ Lin Sheng Zhu ²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of Suzhou,
Suzhou 215009, China

² Department of Mathematics, Changshu college,
Changshu 215500, China

Abstract

In this paper we explicitly determine the derivation algebra, automorphism group of quasi Q_n -filiform Lie algebras, and applying some properties of root vector decomposition we obtain their isomorphism theorem.

AMS Classification: 17B05; 17B30

Keywords: quasi Q_n -filiform Lie algebra; root space decomposition; derivation; automorphism.

Solvable Lie algebras are very important in the study of Lie algebras by Levi decomposition theorem. During the last decades, they have also shown their importance in physics. But the classification of solvable Lie algebras seems to be feasible because of the absence of their global structural properties. So one focuses on some specific classes of solvable case. These specific classes are often related to specific classes of nilpotent Lie algebras, such as Heisenberg algebras, filiform Lie algebras and so on.

Filiform Lie algebras is an important class of nilpotent Lie algebras. In recent years, this class of algebras was studied in many articles. In [11] we study a class of nilpotent Lie algebras which is related to filiform Lie algebras, we call them quasi L_n -filiform Lie algebras. In [11] we obtain some of their structural properties. The natural idea is to study the more nilpotent Lie algebras which are related to filiform Lie algebras. In this paper we study quasi Q_n -filiform Lie algebras, we explicitly determine their derivation algebra, automorphism group, and obtain their isomorphism theorem.

In this paper, all nilpotent Lie algebras discussed are finite dimensional complex nilpotent Lie algebras. We denote the central descending sequence by $c^0N = N$, $c^iN = [N, c^{i-1}N]$.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some elementary facts about nilpotent Lie algebras and quasi L -filiform Lie algebras.

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 10571091,10671027) E-mail address: renbin1964@163.com

Lemma 1.1 [12] If N is a nilpotent Lie algebra, the two following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a minimal system of generators;
- (2) $\{x_1 + c^1 N, x_2 + c^1 N, \dots, x_n + c^1 N\}$ is a basis for the vector space $N/c^1 N$.

If H is a maximal torus on N (a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\text{Der} N$ which consists of semi-simple linear transformations), then N can be decomposed into a direct sum of root spaces with respect to H : $N = \sum_{\alpha \in H^*} N_\alpha$. The scalar $\text{mult}(\alpha) := \dim N_\alpha$ is called the multiplicity of the root α . We also denote $\dim[x] = \dim N_\alpha$ if $x \in N_\alpha$.

Definition 1.1 [12] Let H be a maximal torus on N . One calls H -msg a minimal system of generators which consists of root vectors for H .

Definition 1.2 [6] A nilpotent Lie algebra N is called quasi-cyclic if N has a subspace U such that $N = U \dot{+} U^2 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} U^k$, where $U^i = [U, U^{i-1}]$.

Lemma 1.2 [9] Let N be a quasi-cyclic nilpotent Lie algebra, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an H_1 -msg of N , $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be an H_2 -msg of N , then $\exists \theta \in \text{Aut} N$ such that

$$(y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_n)^t = A(\theta(x_1) \ \theta(x_2) \ \dots \ \theta(x_n))^t,$$

where $(y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_n)^t$ is the transpose of the matrix $(y_1 \ y_2 \ \dots \ y_n)$, A is a $n \times n$ invertible matrix. In particular, if $\dim[x_i] = 1$, $\forall i$, then A is a monomial matrix (i.e., each row and each column has exactly one nonzero entry).

Definition 1.3 [13] Let L be a n -dimensional Lie algebra, L is called a filiform Lie algebra if $\dim c^i L = n - i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Definition 1.4 [11] Let L be a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional filiform Lie algebra, N is called a quasi L -filiform Lie algebra if $N = N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_m$, where $N_i \cong L$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), and $[N_i, N_j] = 0$ ($i \neq j$). We denote N by $N(L, m)$ or $N(L, m, r)$, $r = \dim c^{n-1} N$.

Remark 1.1 The sum in the decomposition $N = \sum_1^m N_i$ is not necessarily direct, so the subalgebras in the decomposition can have nontrivial intersection.

It is easy to know that $N(L, m, r)$ also admits the following decomposition:

$$N(L, m, r) = N_1(L, m_1, 1) + N_2(L, m_2, 1) + \dots + N_q(L, m_q, 1),$$

where $N_i \cap N_j = [N_i, N_j] = 0$ ($i \neq j$), $\sum_1^q m_i = m$. This decomposition is called a L -filiform decomposition.

Filiform Lie algebra Q_n ($n = 2d + 1 > 3$) is a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Lie algebra defined in the basis $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ by

$$[x_0, x_i] = x_{i+1}, \quad [x_i, x_{n-i}] = (-1)^i x_n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

the undefined brackets being zero or obtained by antisymmetry. Obviously Q_n has another basis $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ given by $e_0 = x_0 + x_1$, $e_i = x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. This basis satisfies

$$[e_0, e_i] = e_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2,$$

$$[e_i, e_{n-i}] = (-1)^i e_n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

In following what we choose this basis in discuss.

Obviously Q_n is a quasi-cyclic nilpotent Lie algebra. In general, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3 $N(Q_n, m)$ is a quasi-cyclic nilpotent Lie algebra.

Proof. Let $\{e_{i0}, e_{i1}\}$ be a minimal system of generators of N_i , U be the vector space spanned by $\{e_{10}, e_{11}, \dots, e_{m0}, e_{m1}\}$. Obviously $N = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c^i U$.

For $x \in c^k U \cap \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c^i U$, write $x = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_{i0} e_{i0} + a_{i1} e_{i1} + \dots + a_{i,k-1} e_{i,k-1})$. As $x \in c^k U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e_{s1}, \underbrace{[e_{s0}, \dots, [e_{s0}, [e_{s1}, x]]}_{n-3}] = a_{s0} e_{sn}, \\ 0 &= [e_{s1}, \underbrace{[e_{s0}, \dots, [e_{s0}, x]]}_{n-2}] = -a_{s1} e_{sn}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $a_{s0} = a_{s1} = 0$ for any s . Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_{i2} e_{i2} + \dots + a_{i,k-1} e_{i,k-1})$.

A same argument shows that $a_{sj} = 0$ for any s, j . Thus $x = 0$. This implies that $c^k U \cap \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c^i U = 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Hence $N = U \dot{+} c^1 U \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} c^{n-1} U$.

□

Lemma 1.3 means that $\{e_{10}, e_{11}, \dots, e_{m0}, e_{m1}\}$ is a minimal system of generators of $N(Q_n, m)$. It is easy to know that $\{e_{1j}, e_{2j}, \dots, e_{mj}\}$ is linearly independent ($1 < j < n$). May assume that $\{e_{10}, e_{11}, \dots, e_{1,n-1}, \dots, e_{m0}, e_{m1}, \dots, e_{m,n-1}, e_{1n}, \dots, e_{rn}\}$ is a basis of $N(Q_n, m, r)$, and $e_{in} = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ji} e_{jn}$. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \dots & e_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \dots & e_{rn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

2 On $\text{Der}N(Q_n, m, r)$

In this section we explicitly determine the derivation algebra of $N(Q_n, m, r)$.

Let δ be a linear transformation of $N(Q_n, m, r)$ such that

$$\delta e_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{ij}^{st} e_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^r c_{in}^{st} e_{in}, \quad t = 0, 1,$$

$$\delta e_{st} = [\delta e_{s0}, e_{s,t-1}] + [e_{s0}, \delta e_{s,t-1}], \quad 2 \leq t \leq n-1,$$

$$\delta e_{sn} = -[\delta e_{s1}, e_{s,n-1}] - [e_{s1}, \delta e_{s,n-1}], \quad 1 \leq s \leq r.$$

Then we have

$$\delta e_{st} = \lambda_{s,t-1} e_{st} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-t} c_{sj}^{s1} e_{s,j+t-1} + (-1)^t c_{s,n-t+1}^{s0} e_{sn}, \quad 2 \leq t \leq n-1,$$

$$\delta e_{sn} = \lambda_s e_{sn}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq r,$$

where $\lambda_{si} = ic_{s0}^{s0} + c_{s1}^{s1}$, $\lambda_s = (n-2)c_{s0}^{s0} + 2c_{s1}^{s1}$.

Theorem 2.1 Let δ be as above, $e_{in} = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ji}e_{jn}$, then $\delta \in \text{Der}N$ if and only if for any $1 \leq s, p \leq m$,

$$b_{sj}(\lambda_s - \lambda_j) = 0, \quad s > r, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\delta e_{s0} = c_{s0}^{s0}e_{s0} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} c_{si}^{s0}e_{si} + \sum_{i=1}^r c_{in}^{s0}e_{in}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\delta e_{s1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} c_{si}^{s1}e_{si} + \sum_{i=1}^m c_{i,n-1}^{s1}e_{i,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r c_{in}^{s1}e_{in}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$c_{si}^{s1} = 0, \quad i = 3, 5, \dots, n-2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -c_{p,n-1}^{s1} & c_{s,n-1}^{p1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{p1} & b_{p2} & \cdots & b_{pr} \\ b_{s1} & b_{s2} & \cdots & b_{sr} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$: As $\delta \in \text{Der}N$, for any $s > r$, we have

$$\lambda_s \sum_{j=1}^r b_{js}e_{jn} = \lambda_s e_{sn} = \delta e_{sn} = \delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^r b_{js}e_{jn} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{js} \lambda_j e_{jn}.$$

Then $b_{sj}\lambda_s = b_{sj}\lambda_j$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, i.e., (2.1) holds.

For any $1 \leq s \neq p \leq m$, $1 < t < n-1$, by

$$0 = \delta[e_{s0}, e_{s,n-1}] = -c_{s1}^{s0}e_{sn},$$

$$0 = \delta[e_{s1}, e_{st}] = c_{s0}^{s1}e_{s,t+1} + ((-1)^{n-t} - 1)c_{s,n-t}^{s1}e_{sn},$$

$$0 = \delta[e_{s0}, e_{p1}] = c_{p0}^{s0}e_{p2} + c_{p,n-1}^{s0}e_{pn} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{sj}^{p1}e_{s,j+1},$$

$$0 = \delta[e_{s0}, e_{p0}] = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{pj}^{s0}e_{p,j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{sj}^{p0}e_{s,j+1},$$

$$0 = \delta[e_{s1}, e_{p1}] = c_{p0}^{s1}e_{p2} - c_{s0}^{p1}e_{s2} + \sum_{j=1}^r (c_{p,n-1}^{s1}b_{pj} - c_{s,n-1}^{p1}b_{sj})e_{jn},$$

we have $c_{s1}^{s0} = c_{s0}^{s1} = c_{p0}^{s0} = c_{p,n-1}^{s0} = c_{p0}^{s1} = c_{s0}^{p1} = 0$, $c_{s,n-t}^{s1} = 0$, $t = 2, 4, \dots, n-3$, $c_{sj}^{p1} = c_{pj}^{s0} = c_{sj}^{p0} = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n-2$, and $c_{p,n-1}^{s1}b_{pj} - c_{s,n-1}^{p1}b_{sj} = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) hold.

$(\Leftarrow)$: We show that $\delta[x, y] = [\delta x, y] + [x, \delta y]$ for any $x, y \in N$. Set

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{ij}e_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^r u_{in}e_{in}, \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v_{ij}e_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^r v_{in}e_{in}.$$

Since $[e_{is}, e_{jt}] = 0$ ($i \neq j$), we have

$$\delta[x, y] = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (u_{i0}v_{ij} - v_{i0}u_{ij})\delta e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij}v_{i,n-j}\delta e_{in}.$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} u_{ij}\delta e_{ij} &= \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} u_{ij} \left(\lambda_{i,j-1}e_{ij} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-j} c_{ik}^{i1}e_{i,k+j-1} + (-1)^j c_{i,n-j+1}^{i0}e_{in} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} u_{ij}\lambda_{i,j-1}e_{ij} + \sum_{s=3}^{n-1} \sum_{t=2}^{s-1} u_{it}c_{i,s-t+1}^{i1}e_s + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} u_{ij}(-1)^j c_{i,n-j+1}^{i0}e_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta x, y] &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^m u_{i0}\delta e_{i0}, y \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^m u_{i1}\delta e_{i1}, y \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} u_{ij}\delta e_{ij}, y \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m u_{i0} \left(\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{i0}^{i0}v_{ij}e_{i,j+1}}_{A_1} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} c_{ij}^{i0}v_{i0}e_{i,j+1}}_{A_2} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^j c_{i,n-j}^{i0}v_{ij}e_{in}}_{A_3} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m u_{i1} \left(\underbrace{-c_{i1}^{i1}v_{i0}e_{i2}}_{B_1} - \underbrace{-c_{i1}^{i1}v_{i,n-1}e_{in}}_{B_2} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} c_{ij}^{i1}v_{i0}e_{i,j+1}}_{B_3} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^j c_{i,n-j}^{i1}v_{ij}e_{in}}_{B_4} \right) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m u_{i1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m c_{j,n-1}^{i1}v_{j1}e_{jn}}_B \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\underbrace{-\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} u_{ij}\lambda_{i,j-1}v_{i0}e_{i,j+1}}_{E_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij}\lambda_{i,j-1}v_{i,n-j}e_{in}}_{E_2} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-3} (-1)^{j+1}v_{ij} \left(\sum_{t=2}^{n-j-1} u_{it}c_{i,n-j-t+1}^{i1} \right) e_{in}}_{E_3} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} u_{ij} \sum_{k=2}^{n-j-1} c_{ik}^{i1}v_{i0}e_{i,k+j}}_{E_4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, \delta y] = & - \sum_{i=1}^m v_{i0} \left(\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{i0}^{i0} u_{ij} e_{i,j+1}}_{A'_1} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} c_{ij}^{i0} u_{i0} e_{i,j+1}}_{A'_2} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^j c_{i,n-j}^{i0} u_{ij} e_{in}}_{A'_3} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^m v_{i1} \left(\underbrace{-c_{i1}^{i1} u_{i0} e_{i2}}_{B'_1} - \underbrace{-c_{i1}^{i1} u_{i,n-1} e_{in}}_{B'_2} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} c_{ij}^{i1} u_{i0} e_{i,j+1}}_{B'_3} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^j c_{i,n-j}^{i1} u_{ij} e_{in}}_{B'_4} \right) \\
& \quad \underbrace{- \sum_{i=1}^m v_{i1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m c_{j,n-1}^{i1} u_{j1} e_{jn}}_{B'} \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\underbrace{- \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} v_{ij} \lambda_{i,j-1} u_{i0} e_{i,j+1}}_{E'_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^j v_{ij} \lambda_{i,j-1} u_{i,n-j} e_{in}}_{E'_2} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{n-3} (-1)^{j+1} u_{ij} \left(\sum_{t=2}^{n-j-1} v_{it} c_{i,n-j-t+1}^{i1} \right) e_{in}}_{E'_3} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} v_{ij} \sum_{k=2}^{n-j-1} c_{ik}^{i1} u_{i0} e_{i,k+j}}_{E'_4} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We now prove that

$$[\delta x, y] + [x, \delta y] = B + B' + \delta[x, y]. \quad (2.6)$$

In order to prove (2.6), we take

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= u_{i1} B_4 + E_3 - v_{i1} B'_4 - E'_3, \\
\beta &= u_{i1} B_2 - v_{i1} B'_2 + E_2 - E'_2, \\
\gamma_1 &= -v_{i1} B'_1 + u_{i1} B_1 + u_{i0} A_1 - v_{i0} A'_1 - E'_1 + E_1, \\
\gamma_2 &= -v_{i1} B'_3 + u_{i1} B_3 - E'_4 + E_4, \\
\gamma_3 &= u_{i0} A_3 - v_{i0} A'_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^m u_{i0}A_2 - \sum_{i=1}^m v_{i0}A'_2 = 0$ is obvious, if we can prove the following equations: (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), then (2.6) holds.

$$\alpha = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij} v_{i,n-j} \delta e_{in}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (u_{i0} v_{ij} - v_{i0} u_{ij}) \delta e_{i,j+1}. \quad (2.9)$$

In fact, note that (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j-1} (-1)^{j+1} u_{it} c_{i,n-j-t+1}^{i1} v_{ij} e_{in} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j-1} (-1)^{j+1} v_{it} c_{i,n-j-t+1}^{i1} u_{ij} e_{in} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{t=1}^{n-j-1} ((-1)^{j+1} - (-1)^{t+1}) u_{it} c_{i,n-j-t+1}^{i1} v_{ij} e_{in} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.1) implies that $\delta e_{sn} = \lambda_s e_{sn}$ for any s , and note that

$$E'_2 = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^j v_{ij} \lambda_{i,j-1} u_{i,n-j} e_{in} = - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^j v_{i,n-j} \lambda_{i,n-j-1} u_{ij} e_{in},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= -c_{i1}^{i1} (u_{i1} v_{i,n-1} - v_{i1} u_{i,n-1}) e_{in} \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij} v_{i,n-j} (\lambda_{i,j-1} + \lambda_{i,n-j-1}) e_{in} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij} v_{i,n-j} \lambda_{ij} e_{in}. \end{aligned}$$

At last it's easy to know that (2.9) holds because of the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= (v_{i1} u_{i0} - u_{i1} v_{i0}) c_{i1}^{i1} e_{i2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (u_{i0} v_{ij} - v_{i0} u_{ij}) c_{i0}^{i0} e_{i,j+1} \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} (u_{i0} v_{ij} - v_{i0} u_{ij}) \lambda_{i,j-1} e_{i,j+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (u_{i0} v_{ij} - v_{i0} u_{ij}) \lambda_{ij} e_{i,j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_2 &= \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} c_{ij}^{i1} (v_{i1}u_{i0} - u_{i1}v_{i0})e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-j-1} c_{ik}^{i1} (v_{ij}u_{i0} - u_{ij}v_{i0})e_{i,k+j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-j-1} (u_{i0}v_{ij} - v_{i0}u_{ij})c_{ik}^{i1}e_{i,k+j}, \\
\gamma_3 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} u_{i0}(-1)^{j+1}c_{i,n-j}^{i0}v_{ij}e_{in} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} v_{i0}(-1)^{j+1}c_{i,n-j}^{i0}u_{ij}e_{in} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (u_{i0}v_{ij} - v_{i0}u_{ij})(-1)^{j+1}c_{i,n-j}^{i0}e_{in}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now if we can prove that $B + B' = 0$, then we have $\delta[x, y] = [\delta x, y] + [x, \delta y]$. Next we prove this equation. As (2.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
2(B + B') &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (u_{i1}v_{j1} - v_{i1}u_{j1})c_{j,n-1}^{i1}e_{jn} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (u_{i1}v_{j1} - v_{i1}u_{j1})c_{j,n-1}^{i1}e_{jn} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (u_{j1}v_{i1} - v_{j1}u_{i1})c_{i,n-1}^{j1}e_{in} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m ((u_{i1}v_{j1} - v_{i1}u_{j1})c_{j,n-1}^{i1}e_{jn} + (u_{j1}v_{i1} - v_{j1}u_{i1})c_{i,n-1}^{j1}e_{in}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (u_{i1}v_{j1} - v_{i1}u_{j1})(c_{j,n-1}^{i1}e_{jn} - c_{i,n-1}^{j1}e_{in}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (u_{i1}v_{j1} - v_{i1}u_{j1}) \left(c_{j,n-1}^{i1} \sum_{k=1}^r b_{jk}e_{kn} - c_{i,n-1}^{j1} \sum_{k=1}^r b_{ik}e_{kn} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^m (u_{i1}v_{j1} - v_{i1}u_{j1}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^r (c_{j,n-1}^{i1}b_{jk} - c_{i,n-1}^{j1}b_{ik})e_{kn} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

By Theorem 2.1, it is easy to know that there exists an $h_1 \in \text{Der}N$ such that the matrix of h_1 relative to $\{e_{10}, e_{11}, \dots, e_{m0}, e_{m1}\}$ is $\text{diag}(-2, n-2, \dots, -2m, m(n-2))$. Applying Lemma 1.2 we deduce the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1 Q_n -filiform decomposition is unique up to isomorphism.

Remark 2.1 A similar argument as in [11] shows that $N(Q_n, m)$ is a nilradical of a complete solvable Lie algebra (i.e., centerless with only inner derivations).

By (2.2) and (2.3), we know that the matrix of δ relative to the basis Φ is a lower triangular matrix, so $\text{Der}N$ is a solvable Lie algebra. Let

$$T = \{\delta \in \text{Der}N \mid \delta(e_{s0}, e_{s1}) = (c_{s0}^{s0}e_{s0}, c_{s1}^{s1}e_{s1})\},$$

$$\tilde{N} = \{\delta \in \text{Der}N \mid \delta e_{s0} = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} c_{si}^{s0}e_{si} + \sum_{i=1}^r c_{in}^{s0}e_{in},$$

$$\delta e_{s1} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} c_{s,2i}^{s1}e_{s,2i} + \sum_{i=1}^m c_{i,n-1}^{s1}e_{i,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r c_{in}^{s1}e_{in}\}.$$

Then $\text{Der}N = T \dot{+} \tilde{N}$. Obviously T is an abelian subalgebra, $\tilde{N}$ is a nilpotent ideal.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\delta \in \text{Der}N$, if N is indecomposable (i.e., cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of ideals of N), then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_m$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [10].

Lemma 2.2 If N is indecomposable, let $\tau_i \in T$ ($0 \leq i \leq m$) such that the matrices of τ_0, τ_i relative to $\{e_{10}, e_{11}, \dots, e_{m0}, e_{m1}\}$ are

$$M_0 = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_i = \text{diag} \left(\underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0}_{2(i-1)} \ -2 \ n-2 \ \underbrace{0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0}_{2(m-i)} \right),$$

respectively, then $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m\}$ is a basis of T . Hence $\dim T = m + 1$.

Next we determine the dimension of $\tilde{N}$.

Observe that $N(Q_n, m, r)$ admits the following Q_n -filiform decomposition:

$$N(Q_n, m, r) = N_1(Q_n, m_1, 1) + N_2(Q_n, m_2, 1) + \dots + N_q(Q_n, m_q, 1).$$

Let $s_i = 1 + \sum_1^{i-1} m_j$, $\bar{s}_i = s_i + m_i - 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$). Then $\{e_{s_i0}, e_{s_i1}, \dots, e_{\bar{s}_i0}, e_{\bar{s}_i1}\}$ is a minimal system of generators of $N_i(Q_n, m_i, 1)$. May assume that $e_{s_in} = e_{s_i+1,n} = \dots = e_{s_i+m_i-1,n}$, and $\{e_{1n}, e_{s_2n}, \dots, e_{s_rn}\}$ is a basis of $c^{n-1}N$.

For any $\delta \in \text{Der}N$, by (2.5), we have $c_{p,n-1}^{s1} = c_{s,n-1}^{p1} = 0$ if $\{e_{sn}, e_{pn}\}$ is linearly independent, $c_{p,n-1}^{s1} = c_{s,n-1}^{p1}$ if $\{e_{sn}, e_{pn}\}$ is linearly dependent (may assume $e_{sn} = e_{pn}$). Obviously $\{e_{s_in}, e_{s_jn}\}$ ($i \neq j$) is linearly independent, hence we have

$$\delta \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_i,1} \\ e_{s_i+1,1} \\ \vdots \\ e_{\bar{s}_i,1} \end{pmatrix} = C_i^1 \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_i,1} \\ e_{s_i+1,1} \\ \vdots \\ e_{\bar{s}_i,1} \end{pmatrix} + C_i^2 \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_i,2} \\ e_{s_i+1,2} \\ \vdots \\ e_{\bar{s}_i,2} \end{pmatrix} + C_i^4 \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_i,4} \\ e_{s_i+1,4} \\ \vdots \\ e_{\bar{s}_i,4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \dots + C_i^{n-3} \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_i,n-3} \\ e_{s_i+1,n-3} \\ \vdots \\ e_{\bar{s}_i,n-3} \end{pmatrix} + C_i^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_i,n-1} \\ e_{s_i+1,n-1} \\ \vdots \\ e_{\bar{s}_i,n-1} \end{pmatrix} + C_i^n \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} \\ e_{s_2n} \\ \vdots \\ e_{s_rn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C_i^1, C_i^2, C_i^4, \dots, C_i^{n-2}$ are diagonal matrices, C_i^{n-1} is a symmetric $m_i \times m_i$ matrix.

Lemma 2.3 Let $\delta_{ij}^l, \varepsilon_{ik}^l, \zeta_{it}^l, \xi_{it}^l \in \tilde{N}$, such that

$$\delta_{ij}^l \begin{pmatrix} e_{i1} \\ e_{j1} \\ e_{s0} \\ e_{s1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{j,n-1} \\ e_{i,n-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_{ik}^l \begin{pmatrix} e_{i0} \\ e_{i1} \\ e_{s0} \\ e_{s1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{s_i, 2k} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\zeta_{it}^l \begin{pmatrix} e_{i0} \\ e_{i1} \\ e_{s0} \\ e_{s1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{s_t n} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_{it}^l \begin{pmatrix} e_{i0} \\ e_{i1} \\ e_{s0} \\ e_{s1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_{s_t n} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $1 \leq l \leq q$, $2 \leq k \leq d$ ($n = 2d + 1$), $s_l \leq i < j \leq \bar{s}_l$, $1 \leq t \leq r$. Then for any $\delta \in \tilde{N}$, we have

$$\delta = \sum_{l=1}^q \sum_{i=s_l}^{\bar{s}_l} \sum_{s_l \leq i < j \leq \bar{s}_l} c_{j,n-1}^{i1} \delta_{ij}^l$$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^q \sum_{i=s_l}^{\bar{s}_l} \left(- \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{i,j+1}^{i0} \text{ade}_{ij} + \sum_{t=1}^r c_{tn}^{i0} \zeta_{it}^l + c_{i2}^{i1} \text{ade}_{i0} + \sum_{k=2}^d c_{i,2k}^{i1} \varepsilon_{ik}^l + \sum_{t=1}^r c_{tn}^{i1} \xi_{it}^l \right),$$

and

$$\bigcup_{l=1}^q \{ \text{ade}_{iu}, \delta_i^l, \delta_{ij}^l, \varepsilon_{ik}^l, \zeta_{it}^l, \xi_{it}^l \mid 0 \leq u \leq n-2, 2 \leq k \leq d, s_l \leq i < j \leq \bar{s}_l, 1 \leq t \leq r \}$$

is a basis of $\tilde{N}$. Hence $\dim \tilde{N} = \sum_{l=1}^q ((2r + n + d - 2)m_l + \frac{1}{2}m_l(m_l - 1))$. In particular, if $N = N(Q_n, m, 1)$, then $\{ \text{ade}_{i0}, \text{ade}_{i1}, \varepsilon_{ik}, \delta_{ij}, \zeta_{i1}, \xi_{i1} \mid 2 \leq k \leq d, 1 \leq i < j \leq m \}$ is a basis of $\tilde{N}$, so $\dim \text{Der} N(Q_n, m, 1) = (n + d + 1)m + 1 + \frac{1}{2}m(m - 1)$.

3 Isomorphism theorem

In this section applying some properties of root vector decomposition we obtain isomorphism theorem of quasi Q_n -filiform Lie algebras.

By (1.1) there exists a matrix A such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & I_{m-r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{mn} \end{pmatrix}^t = 0.$$

Definition 3.1 The matrix $(A \ I_{m-r})$ as above is called a related matrix of $N(Q_n, m, r)$ with respect to $\{e_{i0}, e_{i1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $(A \ I_{m-r})(e_{1n} \ e_{2n} \ \cdots \ e_{mn})^t = 0$, B a $(m - r) \times m$ matrix and $B(e_{1n} \ e_{2n} \ \cdots \ e_{mn})^t = 0$. If $(A \ I_{m-r})$ is a related matrix and $\text{rank}(B) = m - r$, then there exists an invertible matrix E such that $EB = (A \ I_{m-r})$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 9 in [9].

Theorem 3.1 If $(A_i \ I_{m-r})$ is a related matrix of $N_i(Q_n, m, r)$ ($i = 1, 2$), then N_1 is isomorphic to N_2 if and only if there exist invertible matrix E and monomial matrix K such that $E(A_1 \ I_{m-r})K = (A_2 \ I_{m-r})$.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [11].

4 On $\text{Aut}N(Q_n, m, r)$

In this section we explicitly determine automorphisms of quasi Q_n -filiform Lie algebra.

Let ρ be a linear transformation of $N(Q_n, m, r)$ such that

$$\rho e_{st} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{ij}^{st} e_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^r b_{in}^{st} e_{in}, \quad t = 0, 1,$$

$$\rho e_{st} = [\rho e_{s0}, \rho e_{s,t-1}], \quad 2 \leq t \leq n-1,$$

$$\rho e_{sn} = -[\rho e_{s1}, \rho e_{s,n-1}], \quad 1 \leq s \leq r.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho e_{s2} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (b_{i0}^{s0} b_{ij}^{s1} - b_{ij}^{s0} b_{i0}^{s1}) e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j b_{ij}^{s0} b_{i,n-j}^{s1} e_{in}, \\ \rho e_{st} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-t} (b_{i0}^{s0})^{t-2} (b_{i0}^{s0} b_{ij}^{s1} - b_{ij}^{s0} b_{i0}^{s1}) e_{i,j+t-1} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-t+1} (-1)^j b_{ij}^{s0} (b_{i0}^{s0})^{t-3} (b_{i0}^{s0} b_{i,n-j-t+2}^{s1} - b_{i,n-j-t+2}^{s0} b_{i0}^{s1}) e_{in}, \quad 2 < t < n, \\ \rho e_{sn} &= \sum_{i=1}^m b_{i1}^{s1} (b_{i0}^{s0})^{n-3} (b_{i0}^{s0} b_{i1}^{s1} - b_{i1}^{s0} b_{i0}^{s1}) e_{in}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1 Let ρ be as above, $(e_{1n} \ e_{2n} \ \cdots \ e_{mn}) = (e_{1n} \ e_{2n} \ \cdots \ e_{rn})(I \ B)$, then $\rho \in \text{Aut}N$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

(1) For any s , there exists only one integer q_s ($1 \leq q_s \leq m$) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho e_{s0} &= b_{q_s 0}^{s0} e_{q_s 0} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} b_{q_s i}^{s0} e_{q_s i} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_{in}^{s0} e_{in}, \\ \rho e_{s1} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} b_{q_s i}^{s1} e_{q_s i} + \sum_{i=1}^m b_{i,n-1}^{s1} e_{i,n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^r b_{in}^{s1} e_{in}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) There exists a permutation matrix T such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & m \end{pmatrix} T.$$

(3) For any $1 \leq s, p \leq m$,

$$b_{qs0}^{s0} b_{qs1}^{s1} \neq 0,$$

$$b_{qs1}^{s1} b_{qs,n-1}^{p1} e_{qsn} = b_{qp,n-1}^{s1} b_{qp1}^{p1} e_{qpn}.$$

(4)

$$\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j b_{qs,j}^{s1} b_{qs,p-j+1}^{s1} = 0, \quad p = 3, 5, \dots, n-2.$$

(5)

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} T_2 K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} T_1 K_1 B.$$

where $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$, T_1 is a $m \times r$ matrix, $K_1 = \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$, $K_2 = \text{diag}(k_{r+1}, \dots, k_m)$, $k_i = (b_{i0}^{i0})^{n-2} (b_{i1}^{i1})^2$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$: As $\rho \in \text{Aut}N$, for any s ,

$$\rho e_{sn} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{i1}^{s1} (b_{i0}^{s0})^{n-3} (b_{i0}^{s0} b_{i1}^{s1} - b_{i1}^{s0} b_{i0}^{s1}) e_{in}.$$

Note that $\rho e_{sn} \neq 0$, there exists an integer q_s such that

$$b_{qs0}^{s0} b_{qs1}^{s1} - b_{qs0}^{s1} b_{qs1}^{s0} \neq 0. \quad (4.1)$$

For any $1 \leq s \neq p \leq m$, $0 \leq t, k \leq 1$, by $\rho[e_{st}, e_{pk}] = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (b_{i0}^{st} b_{ij}^{pk} - b_{ij}^{st} b_{i0}^{pk}) e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j b_{ij}^{st} b_{i,n-j}^{pk} e_{in} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Now we prove that for any $1 \leq p \neq s \leq m$,

$$b_{qst}^{pt} = b_{qst}^{p,1-t} = 0, \quad t = 0, 1. \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.2), we have $b_{i0}^{s0} b_{i1}^{p0} - b_{i1}^{s0} b_{i0}^{p0} = b_{i0}^{s1} b_{i1}^{p1} - b_{i1}^{s1} b_{i0}^{p1} = 0$, $\forall i$, then for $t = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(b_{qst}^{st} e_{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt} e_{st}) - \sum_{i=1}^r (b_{qst}^{st} b_{in}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt} b_{in}^{st}) e_{in} \\ &= b_{qst}^{st} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{qs,j}^{pt} e_{qs,j} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq q_s}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{ij}^{pt} e_{ij} \right) - b_{qst}^{pt} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{qs,j}^{st} e_{qs,j} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq q_s}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{ij}^{st} e_{ij} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (b_{qst}^{st} b_{qs,j}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt} b_{qs,j}^{st}) e_{qs,j} + \sum_{i=1, i \neq q_s}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (b_{qst}^{st} b_{ij}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt} b_{ij}^{st}) e_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\rho(b_{qst}^{st}e_{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}e_{st}), \rho e_{s,1-t}] \\
&= - \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} (b_{qst}^{st}b_{qs,j}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}b_{qs,j}^{st})b_{qs,0}^{s,1-t}e_{qs,j+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^j (b_{qst}^{st}b_{qs,j}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}b_{qs,j}^{st})b_{qs,n-j}^{s,1-t}e_{qs,n} \\
&- \sum_{i=1, i \neq q_s}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (b_{qst}^{st}b_{ij}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}b_{ij}^{st})b_{i0}^{s,1-t}e_{i,j+1} - \sum_{i=1, i \neq q_s}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (b_{qst}^{st}b_{i0}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}b_{i0}^{st})b_{ij}^{s,1-t}e_{i,j+1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1, i \neq q_s}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j (b_{qst}^{st}b_{i0}^{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}b_{i0}^{st})b_{i,n-j}^{s,1-t}e_{in}.
\end{aligned}$$

But on the other hand, for $t = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\rho(b_{qst}^{st}e_{pt} - b_{qst}^{pt}e_{st}), \rho e_{s,1-t}] = \pm b_{qst}^{pt}\rho e_{s2} \\
&= \pm b_{qst}^{pt} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (b_{i0}^{s0}b_{ij}^{s1} - b_{ij}^{s0}b_{i0}^{s1})e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j b_{ij}^{s0}b_{i,n-j}^{s1}e_{in} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of e_{qs2} , we have

$$b_{qst}^{pt}(b_{qs0}^{s0}b_{qs1}^{s1} - b_{qs0}^{s1}b_{qs1}^{s0}) = 0.$$

By (4.1), we have

$$b_{qst}^{pt} = 0, \quad p \neq s, \quad t = 0, 1.$$

Similarly by

$$[\rho(b_{qst}^{st}e_{p,1-t} - b_{qst}^{p,1-t}e_{st}), \rho e_{s,1-t}] = \mp b_{qst}^{p,1-t}\rho e_{s2}, \quad t = 0, 1,$$

we have

$$b_{qst}^{p,1-t} = 0, \quad p \neq s, \quad t = 0, 1.$$

Thus (4.3) holds.

If $\exists s \neq p$ such that $q_s = q_p$, by (4.3), $b_{qs0}^{i0} = b_{qs0}^{i1} = 0, \forall i$. This implies that $e_{qs0} \notin \rho(N)$, a contradiction. So there exists a permutation matrix T such that

$$(q_1 \quad q_2 \quad \cdots \quad q_m) = (1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad m)T. \quad (4.4)$$

By (4.3), (4.4), and $\rho[e_{s1}, e_{s2}] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-3} b_{qs0}^{s1}(b_{qs0}^{s0}b_{qs,j}^{s1} - b_{qs0}^{s1}b_{qs,j}^{s0})e_{qs,j+2} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (-1)^j b_{qs,j}^{s1}(b_{qs0}^{s0}b_{qs,n-j-1}^{s1} - b_{qs0}^{s1}b_{qs,n-j-1}^{s0})e_{qs,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $b_{q_s 0}^{s1} = 0$, then

$$\rho e_{sn} = (b_{q_s 0}^{s0})^{n-2} (b_{q_s 1}^{s1})^2 e_{q_s n},$$

so

$$b_{q_s 0}^{s0} b_{q_s 1}^{s1} \neq 0.$$

By

$$0 = \rho[e_0, e_{n-1}] = -b_{q_s 1}^{s0} (b_{q_s 0}^{s0})^{n-2} b_{q_s 1}^{s1} e_{q_s n},$$

we have

$$b_{q_s 1}^{s0} = 0.$$

For $t = 2, 4, \dots, n-3$, by

$$0 = \rho[e_{s1}, e_{st}] = (b_{q_s 0}^{s0})^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-t} (-1)^j b_{q_s j}^{s1} b_{q_s, n-t-j+1}^{s1} e_{q_s n},$$

we know that (4) holds.

By (4.2) and (4.3), when $t = 0$, $k = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{q_s 0}^{s0} b_{q_s j}^{p1} e_{q_s, j+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j b_{ij}^{s0} b_{i, n-j}^{p1} e_{in} = 0,$$

then

$$b_{q_s j}^{p1} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-2.$$

Hence

$$b_{q_p, n-1}^{s0} b_{q_p 1}^{p1} e_{q_p n} = 0,$$

so

$$b_{q_p, n-1}^{s0} = 0.$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), when $t = k = 0$, we have

$$b_{q_p j}^{s0} = b_{q_s j}^{p0} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-2.$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), when $t = k = 1$, we have

$$b_{q_s 1}^{s1} b_{q_s, n-1}^{p1} e_{q_s n} = b_{q_p, n-1}^{s1} b_{q_p 1}^{p1} e_{q_p n}.$$

Now we have showed that (1), (2), (3) and (4) hold.

At last we show that (5) holds. Let

$$K = \text{diag}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m), \quad k_s = (b_{q_s 0}^{s0})^{n-2} (b_{q_s 1}^{s1})^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{mn} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{mn} \end{pmatrix} TK \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{rn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} TK. \end{aligned}$$

But on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{mn} \end{pmatrix} &= \rho \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{rn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e_{q_1n} & e_{q_2n} & \cdots & e_{q_rn} \end{pmatrix} K_1 \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{mn} \end{pmatrix} T_1 K_1 \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e_{1n} & e_{2n} & \cdots & e_{rn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} T_1 K_1 \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

where $T = (T_1 \ T_2)$, T_1 is an $m \times r$ matrix, $K_1 = \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$. So we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} TK = \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} T_1 K_1 \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $K_2 = \text{diag}(k_{r+1}, \dots, k_m)$, then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} T_2 K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I & B \end{pmatrix} T_1 K_1 B.$$

($\Leftarrow$): We only prove that $\rho[x, y] = [\rho x, \rho y]$ for any $x, y \in N$. Set

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{ij} e_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^r u_{in} e_{in}, \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v_{ij} e_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^r v_{in} e_{in}.$$

For any s , by (5), we have

$$\rho e_{sn} = (b_{qs1}^{s1})^2 (b_{qs0}^{s0})^{n-2} e_{qs n}.$$

If $\rho[e_{st}, e_{pk}] = [\rho e_{st}, \rho e_{pk}]$ for any e_{st}, e_{pk} , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho[x, y] &= \rho \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{ij} e_{ij}, \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v_{ij} e_{ij} \right] \\
&= \rho \sum_{i=1}^m \left(u_{i0} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} v_{ij} e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij} v_{i,n-j} e_{in} - v_{i0} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} u_{ij} e_{i,j+1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} (u_{i0} v_{ij} - v_{i0} u_{ij}) \rho e_{i,j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j u_{ij} v_{i,n-j} \rho e_{in} \right) \\
&= \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{ij} \rho e_{ij}, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} v_{ij} \rho e_{ij} \right] \\
&= [\rho x, \rho y].
\end{aligned}$$

We now prove that $\rho[e_{st}, e_{pk}] = [\rho e_{st}, \rho e_{pk}]$ for any e_{st}, e_{pk} .

Obviously $\rho[e_{st}, e_{pk}] = 0 = [\rho e_{st}, \rho e_{pk}]$ if $t = n$ or $k = n$.

Obviously $\rho[e_{st}, e_{pk}] = 0 = [\rho e_{st}, \rho e_{pk}]$ if $s \neq p$, and $t \neq 1$ or $k \neq 1$.

If $s \neq p$, $t = k = 1$, by (3), we have $\rho[e_{st}, e_{pk}] = 0 = [\rho e_{st}, \rho e_{pk}]$.

Next we prove that

$$\rho[e_{st}, e_{sk}] = [\rho e_{st}, \rho e_{sk}], \quad 0 \leq t < k < n.$$

Case 1: $t = 0$. This equation is obvious.

Case 2: $t = 1$. By (4) and note that $\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j b_{qs,j}^{s1} b_{qs,p-j+1}^{s1} = 0$ when p is even, this equation holds.

Case 3: $t > d$ ($n = 2d + 1$). This equation is obvious.

Case 4: $t = d$.

$$[\rho e_{sd}, \rho e_{sk}] = 0 = \rho[e_{sd}, e_{sk}], \quad k > d + 1,$$

$$[\rho e_{sd}, \rho e_{s,d+1}] = (-1)^d (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{n-2} (b_{qs,1}^{s1})^2 e_{qs,n} = \rho[e_{sd}, e_{s,d+1}].$$

Case 5: $1 < t < d$ and $k < d$. Obviously $\rho[e_{st}, e_{sk}] = 0$.

Set $t = d - t'$, $k = d - k'$. By (4) and note that $\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j b_{qs,j}^{s1} b_{qs,p-j+1}^{s1} = 0$ when p is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\rho e_{s,d-t'}, \rho e_{s,d-k'}] \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-d+t'} (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{d-t'-1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-t'-1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n-d+k'} (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{d-k'-1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-k'-1} \right] \\ &= (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{n-k'-t'-3} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{t'+1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-t'-1}, \sum_{j=k'+2}^{k'+t'+2} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-k'-1} \right] \\ &\quad + (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{n-k'-t'-3} \left[\sum_{j=t'+2}^{k'+t'+2} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-t'-1}, \sum_{j=1}^{k'+1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-k'-1} \right] \\ &= (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{n-k'-t'-3} \sum_{j=1}^{k'+t'+2} (-1)^j b_{qs,j}^{s1} b_{qs,k'+t'+2-j+1}^{s1} e_{qs,n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 6: $1 < t < d$ and $k \geq d$. Obviously $\rho[e_{st}, e_{sk}] = 0$. Set $t = d - t'$, $k = d + k'$.

If $t' + 1 \geq k'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\rho e_{s,d-t'}, \rho e_{s,d+k'}] \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-d+t'} (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{d-t'-1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-t'-1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n-d-k'} (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{d+k'-1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d+k'-1} \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{t'-k'+2} (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{d-t'-1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-t'-1}, \sum_{j=1}^{t'-k'+2} (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{d+k'-1} b_{qs,j}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d+k'-1} \right] \\ &= (b_{qs,0}^{s0})^{n-t'+k'-3} \sum_{j=1}^{t'-k'+2} (-1)^j b_{qs,j}^{s1} b_{qs,t'-k'+2-j+1}^{s1} e_{qs,n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $t' + 1 < k'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\rho e_{s,d-t'}, \rho e_{s,d+k'}] \\
&= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-d+t'} (b_{qs0}^{s0})^{d-t'-1} b_{qsj}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d-t'-1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n-d-k'} (b_{qs0}^{s0})^{d+k'-1} b_{qsj}^{s1} e_{qs,j+d+k'-1} \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] J.M. Ancochea, O.R. Campoamor, On Lie algebras whose nilradical is $(n - p)$ -filiform, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 427-450.
- [2] J.M. Ancochea, R. Campoamor, Completable filiform Lie algebras, Linear Alg. Appl. 367 (2003), 185-191.
- [3] J.M. Ancochea, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, L.G. Vergnolle, Solvable Lie algebras with naturally graded nilradicals and their invariants, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 39 (2006), 1339-1355.
- [4] R. Carles, Sur les structures des algèbres de Lie rigides, Ann. Institut Fourier, 34, 65-82, 1984.
- [5] M. Goze, J.M. Ancochea, On the classification of rigid Lie algebras, J. Algebra 245(2001), 69-91.
- [6] G. Leger, and E. Luks, On derivations and holomorphs of nilpotent Lie algebra, Nagoya Math. J. 44 (1971), 39-50.
- [7] D.J. Meng, Some results on complete Lie algebras, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994), 5457-5507.
- [8] D.J. Meng, L.S. Zhu, Solvable complete Lie algebras I, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), 4181-4197.
- [9] B. Ren, D.J. Meng, Some 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras I, Linear Alg. Appl. 338 (2001), 77-98.
- [10] B. Ren, D.J. Meng, Quasi L_3 -filiform Lie algebras, Comm. Algebra 32(2004) 3281-3296.
- [11] B. Ren, N.H. Hu, Quasi L_n -filiform Lie algebras, Comm. Algebra 33(2005) 631-648.
- [12] L.J. Santharounane, Kac-Moody Lie algebras and the classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of maximal rank, Canad. J. Math., 34 (1982), 1215-1239.
- [13] L. Snoble, P. Winternitz, A class of solvable Lie algebras and their Casimir invariants, J. Phys. A, Math. Gen. 38 (2005), 2687-2700.

- [14] M. Vergne, Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes, Application à l'étude de la variété des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 98(1970), 81-116.
- [15] L.S. Zhu and D. J. Meng, The classification of complete Lie algebras with low dimensions, Alg. Colloquium, 4 (1997), 95-109.